

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,258	09/15/2003	Shih-Zheng Kuo	9585-0280	9035
73552 Stolowitz Ford	7590 09/20/201 Cowger LLP	EXAMINER		
621 SW Morris			KAU, STEVEN Y	
Suite 600 Portland, OR 9	7205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/662,258	KUO, SHIH-ZHENG	
	Art Unit	
STEVEN KAU	2625	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 19 August 2010.

 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains un- is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal h from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from contact the contact to the contact the	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Examiner Steven Kau.	(3) <u>SPE King Poon</u> .
(2) <u>SPE David Moore</u> .	(4)
/Steven Kau/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625